



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,062	11/17/2003	Kia Silverbrook	ZG110US	9911

24011 7590 07/28/2004

SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD
393 DARLING STREET
BALMAIN, 2041
AUSTRALIA

EXAMINER

GORDON, RAQUEL YVETTE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2853

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/713,062

Applicant(s)

SILVERBROOK, KIA

Examiner

Raquel Y. Gordon

Art Unit

2853

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/17/2003 (this application).
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2-6 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/307,330.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/2003
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 2853

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-4 of copending Application No. 10/713070. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

1. A micro-electromechanical drive mechanism that comprises
a substrate that incorporates drive circuitry (claim 1);

Art Unit: 2853

at least one pair of elongate actuator arms that are anchored at a fixed end to the substrate and connected to the drive circuitry, each actuator arm being of an electrically conductive material and having an active portion that defines a heating circuit that is in electrical contact with the drive circuitry to heat and expand on receipt of an electrical signal from the drive circuitry and cool and contract on termination of that signal and a passive portion that is spaced from the active portion relative to the substrate so that the actuator arm bends and straightens as a result of differential thermal expansion and contraction and an opposed moving end undergoes reciprocal arcuate movement, the actuator arms of the, or each, pair being oriented with the moving ends aligned and facing each other (claim 3/2/1);

at least one pair of coupling structures that are fast with respective moving ends of the actuator (claim 4/3/2/1); and

a working member that is fast with and interposed between the, or each, pair of coupling structures, the coupling structures being configured so that said arcuate movement is translated into substantially rectilinear movement of the working member (claim 1).

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reasons for Indication of Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter. The following claimed combination is not taught by the prior art of record:

2. A micro-electromechanical drive mechanism as claimed in claim 1, in which each actuator arm is of a unitary structure and of **a material having a Young's modulus which is selected such that, when the active portion expands, the passive portion stores spring energy and when the active portion contracts,** the spring energy is released (with emphasis);

3. A micro-electromechanical drive mechanism as claimed in claim 2, in which each actuator arm has a transverse profile that is shaped so that part of a volume of one of the active portion and the passive portion is interposed between the other of the active portion and the passive portion and the substrate;

Art Unit: 2853

4. A micro-electromechanical drive mechanism as claimed in claim 1, in which each coupling structure includes a proximal member that is fast with the moving end of its associated actuator, a distal member that is fast with the working member and a connecting member that is fast with and interconnects the proximal and distal members, the connecting member being deformable to accommodate the arcuate movement of the moving member while the distal member moves along a substantially rectilinear path;

5. A micro-electromechanical drive mechanism as claimed in claim 4, in which each proximal member includes a pair of tongue members that extend towards an associated working member and each distal member includes a pair of tongue members that extend towards an associated proximal member such that the tongue members overlap in a common plane parallel to the substrate and each connecting member includes a rod that extends from each of the tongues towards the substrate and a plate that interconnects ends of the rods, the plate and the rods being deformable to permit arcuate movement of the proximal member and rectilinear movement of the distal member;

6. A micro-electromechanical drive mechanism as claimed in claim 1, which includes two pairs of opposed actuator arms and coupling structures.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Raquel Y. Gordon, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0022. The Examiner can normally be reached on M Tu Th and F 8:30-6:00. Effective February 11, 2003, Ex. Gordon, can be reached at the new PTO facility at (571) 272-2145.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 703-308-4896. Effective February 11, 2003, the supervisor can be reached at the new PTO facility at (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3432. A new fax number will be forthcoming.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956. A new status inquiry number will be forthcoming.



Raquel Y. Gordon
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2853
July 23, 2004

**RAQUEL GORDON
PRIMARY EXAMINER**